Hypervalent Iodine(III) Reagents as Safe Alternatives to α -Nitro- α -diazocarbonyls

Ryan P. Wurz and André B. Charette*

Département de chimie, Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128, Station Downtown, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7

andre.charette@umontreal.ca

Received April 22, 2003

ABSTRACT



A cyclopropanation reaction involving iodonium ylides generated in situ allows for efficient preparation of substituted 1-nitro-1-carbonyl cyclopropanes. This robust cyclopropanation reaction can be performed in organic solvents, biphasic aqueous media, or under solvent-free conditions with alkene substrates. The iodonium ylides generated in situ display some surprising differences in reactivity when compared to α -nitro- α -diazocarbonyl compounds. They do not undergo O–H insertion reactions and exhibit reduced reactivity with certain alkenes.

We recently reported a facile and efficient diazo transfer reaction involving trifluoromethanesulfonyl azide for the preparation of α -nitro- α -diazo-carbonyls.¹ In our research program involving the asymmetric synthesis of unnatural amino acids,² these substrates could represent an amino acid equivalent upon reduction of the nitro group. Additionally, cyclopropanes derived from these substrates could serve as useful synthons.³

Of particular interest, however, was the development of an efficient and expedient method for the synthesis of cyclopropane amino acids⁴ via reduction of the nitro group.⁵ Transition metal-catalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes with

(5) For reduction of the nitro group in the unsubstituted nitro cyclopropane carboxylate, see: Seebach, D.; Häner, R. *Chimia* **1985**, *39*, 356. diazo compounds represents a direct approach for cyclopropane preparation.⁶ However, the instability of α -nitro- α diazoacetate reagents precludes their use for the large-scale preparation of cyclopropane amino acids.⁷

Attempts to circumvent the potential drawbacks of diazo chemistry have led to the development of in situ diazo generation procedures.⁸ Hypervalent iodine(III) reagents have also been gaining in popularity as synthetic equivalents to diazo compounds.⁹

These iodine(III) reagents represent an attractive alternative for acidic hydrogen containing substrates **1** due to their ease of preparation. They also exhibit similar reactivities when

^{(1) (}a) Charette, A. B.; Wurz, R. P.; Ollevier, T. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9252. (b) Charette, A. B.; Wurz, R. P.; Ollevier, T. Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 4468.

⁽²⁾ See, for example: (a) Charette, A. B.; Côté, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 12721. (b) Charette, A. B.; Mellon, C. Tetrahedron **1998**, 54, 10525. (c) Charette, A. B.; Gagnon, A.; Janes, M.; Mellon, C. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, 39, 5147. (d) Charette, A. B.; Gagnon, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1999**, 10, 1961.

^{(3) (}a) As a source of nitrocyclopropanes, see: O'Bannon, P. E.; Dailey,
W. P. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 353. (b) Vettiger, T.; Seebach, D. Liebigs
Ann. Chem. 1990, 195. (c) Rosini, G.; Marotta, E.; Righi, P.; Seerden, J. P.
J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6258. (d) Marotta, E.; Micheloni, L. M.; Scardovi,
N.; Righi, P. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 727. (e) Seebach, D.; Häner, R.; Vettiger,
T. Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 1507.

⁽⁴⁾ Davies, H. M. L.; Cantrell, W. R., Jr. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 6509. (c) Davies, H. M. L.; Bruzinski, P. R.; Lake, D. H.; Kong, N.; Fall, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6897. See also ref 8d.

^{(6) (}a) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds: From Cyclopropanes to Ylides; Wiley: New York, 1998. (b) Lebel, H.; Marcoux, J.-F.; Molinaro, C.; Charette, A. B. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 977.

⁽⁷⁾ Methyl α -nitro- α -diazoacetate explodes when heated (see ref 1), but ethyl diazoacetate is quite stable upon heating. For the preparation of ethyl diazoacetate on a 1000 mol scale, see: Scott, J. W. *Abstracts of Papers*, 223rd National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Orlando, FL, April 7–11, 2002; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2002; Abstract 186.

^{(8) (}a) Wurz, R. P.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 4531. (b) Barrett, A. G. M.; Braddock, D. C.; Lenoir, I.; Tone, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8260. (c) Aggarwal, V. K.; de Vincente, J.; Bonnert, R. V. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2785. (d) Aggarwal, V. K.; Alonso, E.; Fang, G.; Ferrara, M.; Hynd, G.; Porcelloni, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 1433.

⁽⁹⁾ For a review on hypervalent iodonium reagents, see: (a) Zhdankin,
V. V.; Stang, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* 2002, *102*, 2523. (b) Müller, P.; Boléa, C. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2001, *84*, 1093. (c) Müller, P.; Boléa, C. *Synlett* 2000, 826. (d) Müller, P.; Fernandez, D. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1995, *78*, 947.

compared to the corresponding diazo substrates. They are generally isolated upon treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with methanolic KOH and bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene (eq 1).¹⁰



Under these reaction conditions methyl nitroacetate (**3**) failed to yield the desired iodonium ylides due in part to solubility problems and/or degradation. Thus, we turned to an in situ approach where the iodonium ylides are generated in the presence of Rh(II) carboxylate catalysts and an olefin substrate.¹¹ This "one-pot" procedure would avoid isolation of the potentially unstable intermediate. A number of reaction variables, including solvent and base, were tested (Table 1).

Table 1. In Situ Generation of Iodonium Ylides Followed by

 Cyclopropanation

0 ₂ N ([RhL ₂] ₂ (0. OMe Phi(OAc) ₂ O Solvent, Styrene (2 h.	[RhL ₂] ₂ (0.5 mol%) PhI(OAc) ₂ (1.1 equiv) Solvent, Additive Styrene (5 equiv) 2 h, rt		Ph NO ₂ CO ₂ Me	
solvent	catalyst	additive (equiv)	yield of 4 (%)	ratio <i>E</i> ∤Z	
$\begin{array}{c} CH_2Cl_2\\ CH_2Cl_2\\ CH_2Cl_2\\ H_2O\\ none\\ none\\ none\\ none\\ none\\ none\\ none\\ \end{array}$	[Rh(OAc) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OAc) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OAc) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OPiv) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OAc) ₂] ₂ [Rh(C ₇ H ₁₅ CO ₂) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OPiv) ₂] ₂ [Rh(OPiv) ₂] ₂	3 Å MS MgO (5) Na ₂ CO ₃ (2) Na ₂ CO ₃ (2) none none none none	20 ^{b,c} 52 ^c 86 41 71 83 67 ^d	92:8 92:8 90:10 93:7 90:10 91:9 92:8 92:8	

^{*a*} Isolated yields after purification. ^{*b*} PhI=O used as a hypervalent iodine source. ^{*c*} Reaction time: 6 h in refluxing CH₂Cl₂. ^{*d*} Styrene (2 equiv) was used.

In all cases, the reagents were added simultaneously and allowed to stir. Initial attempts in refluxing dichloromethane afforded low to modest yields with a variety of drying agents and bases. When the reaction was performed using water as a solvent and a hydrophobic catalyst,¹² the yields reached acceptable levels. To further simplify the procedure, the reaction was tested in the absence of solvent and additives and found to give optimal yields of cyclopropane **4** in only 2 h at room temperature.

It is noteworthy to mention that although $[Rh(OAc)_2]_2$ and $[Rh(C_7H_{15}CO_2)_2]_2$ catalysts gave inferior yields compared to $[Rh(OPiv)_2]_2$, stirring overnight allowed for isolation of 70 and 81% yields of **4**, respectively. A modest yield of **4** (67%) was maintained when only 2 equiv of styrene was used.

The scope of the cyclopropanation reaction was examined by variation of steric bulk on the α -nitro carbonyl substrate. The results suggest that the reaction is efficient for a variety of α -nitro carbonyl substrates (Table 2). Of particular interest

Table 2. Scope of the Cyclopropanation Reaction

O₂N∕⊤ ^R	[Rh(OPiv) ₂] ₂ (0.5 mol%) Phl(OAc) ₂ (1.1 equiv) Styrene (5 equiv) Neat, 2 h, rt		Ph NO ₂ COR	
5				
product	R	yield (%) ^a	<i>E</i> / <i>Z</i> ratio	
6a	OAllyl	71	90:10	
6b	OBn	83	84:16	
6c	O <i>i</i> -Pr	64^b	82:18	
6d	Ph	61	9:91	
6d	Ph	75 ^c	10:90	
6e	c-C ₃ H ₅	72	72:28	

 $[^]a$ Isolated yields after purification. b Reaction time: 3 h. c Heated at 40 °C for 3 h.

is the tolerance for alkenes that are part of the α -nitro ester reagent (**5a**), as the reaction proceeded smoothly and exclusively in an intermolecular fashion.¹³ The reaction can also be successfully scaled-up with reduced catalyst loadings.¹⁴

 α -Nitro ketones also lead to modest isolated yields in the cyclopropanation reaction, closely approximating that of the diazo substrate except for substrate **5d** in which yields of 74% can be obtained when the diazo is used.^{1b}

The yields could be improved to 75% by heating at 40 °C for 3 h. It is noteworthy that this α -nitro ketone substrate allows access to predominantly the (*Z*)-isomer.

Next, a variety of olefinic substrates were tested under the standard conditions (Table 3). We noticed small differences between the reactivities of the in situ-generated iodonium ylides and the diazo compounds.

Cyclopropanation in the presence of alkenes such as indene, para-substituted styrenes, and α -methyl styrene afforded the corresponding cyclopropanes with similar chemical yields to the reaction using the corresponding diazo reagents, while 1,1-diphenylethylene and methylene cyclopentane were problematic under the standard reaction conditions (Table 3). Acceptable yields of the cyclopropanes were obtained when the reaction mixtures were heated to 40 °C for 3 h (condition C) (**8e,f**).¹⁵ It is noteworthy to mention

⁽¹⁰⁾ Ochiai, M.; Kitagawa, Y. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2000, 1048.
(11) Dauban et al. reports a cyclopropanation involving dimethyl malonate, PhI=O, and Cu(acac)₂ as a catalyst affording 55% of cyclopropane; see: (a) Dauban, P.; Sanière, L.; Tarrade, A.; Dodd, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7707. For examples involving nitrogen analogues of phenyliodonium ylides, see: (b) Espino, C. G.; Du Bois, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 598. (c) Espino, C. G.; Wehn, P. M.; Chow, J.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6935.

⁽¹²⁾ Use of hydrophobic catalysts in aqueous reactions is critical for success (see ref 8a).

⁽¹³⁾ A variety of intramolecular cyclopropanations can be achieved with the corresponding diazo substrate (see also ref 16).

⁽¹⁴⁾ On a 700 mg scale, 0.1 mol % $[Rh(OPiv)_2]_2$ gave 75% isolated yield (93:7 *E/Z* ratio) of 4 upon being heated for 2 h at 40 °C.

Table 3. Diazo vs Iodonium Ylides Cyclopropanation Scope

	[Rh(OPiv) ₂] ₂ (0.5 mol%)	\searrow^{NO_2}
$X = N_2 (7a)$ X = H ₂ (3)	Conditions A, B or C Alkene (5 equiv)	R R 8

product	alkene	conditions ^a	yield (%)	E/Z ratio
4	styrene	А	90	90:10
	·	В	83	92:8
8a	indene	А	84	100:0
		В	91	100:0
8b	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ CH=CH ₂	Α	91 ^b	n/a
		В	82^{b}	
8c	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH=CH ₂	Α	87	91:9
		В	75	91:9
8d	$C_6H_5C(Me)=CH_2$	Α	91	97:3
		В	80	98:2
8e	$Ph_2C=CH_2$	Α	97 ^b	n/a
		В	0	n/a
		С	63^{b}	n/a
8f	methylenecyclopentane	Α	80	n/a
		В	0	n/a
		С	73	n/a

^{*a*} A: Diazo (0.5 M in CH₂Cl₂) was added dropwise over 15 min to alkene and then stirred for 2 h. B: PhI(OAc)₂ (1.1 equiv), neat, 2 h, rt. C: Same as A, except the reaction was heated at 40 °C for 3 h. ^{*b*} Isolated as a mixture of cyclopropane and isoxazoline *N*-oxide.

that no dimerization products were observed under any of the reaction conditions tested.

Preliminary work involving asymmetric cyclopropanations¹⁶ was also found to give similar results when compared to its diazo counterpart in organic solvents (eq 2, PTPA = *N*-phthaloylphenylalaninate). In the reaction involving iodonium ylides, the yields and enantiomeric excesses were similar to those in the diazo-mediated cyclopropanation (75% yield in a 86:14 *E*/Z ratio; E = 30% ee, Z = 12% ee).¹⁶

$$3 \xrightarrow[\text{Rh((S)-PTPA)_2]_2 (0.5 \text{ mol }\%)}_{\text{styrene (5 equiv)}} 4 \xrightarrow[\text{FZ 84:16}]{\text{FZ 84:16}}_{E = 30\% \text{ ee}} (2)$$

$$2 = 13\% \text{ ee}$$

Next, a competition experiment was performed with benzyl alcohol and styrene (eq 3). Surprisingly, using an iodonium ylide led to exclusive formation of the cyclopropane product **4** (70% isolated yield). Methyl nitro diazoacetate **7a** gave a mixture of cyclopropane **4** and O–H insertion product **9** in a 1.7:1 ratio (90% overall yield). Mechanistic reasons for the differences in reactivities are presently unknown and will be the subject of future work.

7a or 3
$$\begin{array}{c}
[Rh(OPiv)_{2}]_{2} (0.5 \text{ mol}\%) \\
\hline
Benzyl alcohol (5 equiv) \\
\hline
Styrene (5 equiv) \\
Conditions \\
A or B (see Table 3)
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\qquad 4 \qquad + \qquad OBn \\
O_{2}N \qquad \bigcirc OBn \\
O_{2}N \qquad \bigcirc OBn \\
O$$

A variety of other bis(acetoxy)iodobenzene derivatives can be easily prepared,¹⁷ but presently, there appears to be no advantage in using structurally modified iodonium(III) reagents. This report offers a safe alternative to α -nitro- α diazo carbonyls, although there are advantages and applications for both. Further examination of the implicated mechanism and asymmetric cyclopropanations is being studied and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the E.W.R. Steacie Fund, NSERC/Merck Frosst Canada/ Boehringer Ingelheim Industrial Chair, and Université de Montréal. R.W. thanks NSERC for a postgraduate (PGS B) fellowship.

Supporting Information Available: Spectral data for all new compounds (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, HRMS) and SFC traces for enantioselective cyclopropanations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL034672G

⁽¹⁵⁾ Additives such as aqueous KBr solutions, n-Bu₄NBr (10 mol %), and small amounts of toluene (to aid solubility) also had a marked accelerating effect. The results in Table 3 are very similar when H₂O was used as a solvent, but the reaction suffers the disadvantage of requiring an extraction.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Charette, A. B.; Wurz, R. P. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2003, 196, 83.

⁽¹⁷⁾ McKillop, A.; Kemp, D. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 3299.